

EXISUS DECAUSE LIE SHAPPING TURGE TEQUITED expediated or Cylinders tear the paper The with the same parameters. The zero region of the **Designing the Response** force plot is greater The half below shapping idea and a function of Δθ the with forder for the date of the after the after the compression of columns with constant  $\gamma$ . Using this data, a crease pattern with varying  $\gamma$  can be reverse engineered to produce a desired force response. However, given that a cylinder of uniform  $\gamma$  throughout each segment results in an increasing force with each snap, it can only accurately produce curves that increase in slope. That is, it cannot produce a concave down response.

er left).<sup> $\gamma$ </sup> The graph (above, behavior, while in theory, those to the left would,

In reality, the transition from bistable to monostable Using data from the graph above, the crease pattern below behavior occurs at a lower  $\gamma$  value than the calculated was designed to produce a force curve whose snap magnitudes exhibit exponential growth. The subsequent graph bifurcation point. The discrepancy is due to the use of a shows the input force used to generate the CP in blue, and purely geometric model, which does not account for spring forces within the paper that have a tendency to resist folding of the creases. the response of that CP under axial compression in green.

and 10 at  $\gamma = \pi/12$ , no data exists because the snapping force exceeded the force required to tear the paper. The same parameters. The zero region of the force plot is greater than the zero region of the  $\Delta \theta$  plot due to the aforementioned unaccounted spring forces. parameter  $\theta$  is the rotation of one polygon relative to its









